SULZBERGER the Board SULZBERGER I Publisher

zeentive Vice President Vice President Vice President ce President cretary-Treasurer

Executive Editor torial Page Editor

ssociate Editor

n Revolutionary party the country in a state last thing that Profes-

ir Pollution

ork City. Last year it not of bituminous coal il in its power generation of equipment badly in erefore, Con Edison's a program to combat wart of its planning.

nted concession made Force on Air Pollution th Con Edison, under the undertakes to give is plan to build a giant ower plant on Storm elieve that this would be pollution here since the Hudson to the the generated in steam

t report on air polluago, referred to the
le) opposition" to the
oncerned about prehe majestic site and
The Task Force did
power sources it felt
e obsolete generating
ggested power generfrom nuclear energy
power from Canada.
tates Supreme Court
of the entire Storm
on by the Court of

of the entire Storm on by the Court of canceling the license ad granted Con Edid that it was based redered the hearings clon such intangible historic significance apploration of possible

the first time inject particularly when

In The Nation: The Difficult Case of the C.I.A.

By ARTHUR KROCK

WASHINGTON, May 18-Interwoven in the proposal to include members of the Foreign Relations Committee in the Senate group which checks the operations of the Central Intelligence Agency is the native American distaste for a notwork of espionage. The responsibility assigned to the C.I.A. for protecting the national security requires matching and frustrating the covert and often criminal activities of toreign agents to undermine this security. And these are practices, generated by the world power struggle between the Communist and democratic systems, that Americans have been taught to associate with "evil" foreign regimes.

But, though it is generally accepted that the subversive designs and tactics of world Communism compelled a responsible American Government to establish the counterforce embodied in the C.I.A., a feeling has increasingly developed that the agency should be exposed to more critical and broader surveillance. The immediate product of this feeling is the proposal favored by a majority of the members of the Committee on Foreign Relations.

That presents the Senate with an unusually difficult choice. The effectiveness of the C.I.A., also the lives of its agents in certain foreign countries, depend on the complete secrecy of their activities. These agents include nationals of the foreign countries as well as Americans working under a "cover" which disguises what they actually are doing there. Not only in Washington, but particularly here, experience has shown that the larger the number entrusted with secrets, the larger the "leaks" of those secrets into the public domain.

Information Leaks

In opposing the addition of three Foreign Relations committeemen to the seven from Armed Services and Appropriations, Senator Russell of Georgia, chairman of the Seven, made this general point. But Senator Lausche of Ohio added an observation which Russell's colleagues suspect to be the real basis of his objection. The Foreign Relations Committee, said Lausche (he is a member of it), has also distinguished itself for the frequency of leaks that come out of its hearings" in executive session. Not one has ever come from the Seven.

Senator Fulbright and his for the asking,

committee majority want equal representation on the Russell group with Armed Services and Appropriations on the ground that the C.I.A. ptays an important role in the "making" of foreign policy, in which the Senate is the President's constitutional junior partner and the Fulbright committee is its deputy. This ground is substantial, but only to a degree. If the C.I.A. confines itself to its appointed functions—the gather-ing and weighting of intelligence in the field of international "activities - then it 'makes" foreign policy only in the sense that this is shaped, as necessarily it is, by international events and the designs of other nations. And the Russell group vigorously asserts that the C.I.A. strictly limits itself to these functions to these functions.

This affirmation supports assurances given publicly by former Directors Dulles and McCone several times in recent years. Moreover, as has been pointed out in behalf of the Rússell committee, having given clearance for access to secretintelligence reports to Senators outside the group whose official responsibilities entitled them to it, the same would be readily available to Chairman Fulbright for the asking.

Another consideration bling some Sexators the principle of C.I.A. surveillance. State of the principle of C.I.A. surveillance. Subject to a great deal ports its activities in full ports its activities in a ports its activities in ports its activities its activities in ports its activities its activities in ports its

The Senate's Dilemme

Thus a hard choice sented to the Sensity Foreign Relations Coproposal. By approval increase the incidence of that comes with any ment of the recipient of By approval, the sensity of and initiative. By sensity the and initiative. By reproposal, the Sensity appropriate the serves as an important such the making of foreign of the Sensity selves a dilemma by compromise.

Observer: How's the Wife and Pass the Sugar

By RUSSELL BAKER

WASHINGTON, May 18— The next big development in labor-saving devices will almost certainly be the automatic tongue. When fully developed, it will take over as much as 95 per cent of all the conversation that now has to be ground out laboriously, syllable by syllable, by man.

Basically, the automatic tongue is a talking computer small enough to fit in a man's lapel button or a weman's locket. Programing it is not difficult. Science has discovered that nearly 95 per cent of all American conversation is conducted in only 53 phrases and sentences.

No Thought Required

Knowing the relative frequency with which each phrase or sentence occurs at each hour of the day, it is child's play to time the computer so that it will produce an entire conversation suitable to the occasion without requiring the owner to say a word.

Scientists have discovered, for example, that at breakfast the conversation lines most frequently used by men are: "Terrible," "Some weather, isn't it?" "Fine," "Where is the paper?" "Pass the sugar" and "See you tonight."

By feeding these phrases into the computer, they can elimininate all breakfast conversational toil. The automatic tongue is timed to start talking at 7:02 A.M., an instant after the wife has used the most common breakfast sentence in America; to wit, "How did you sleep?"

"Terrible," the computer replies. "Some weather, isn't it?"
The conversation then proceeds automatically as follows:
Wife: Are the eggs all right?

Wife: Are the eggs all right? Computer: Fine. Where is the paper?

Wife: What a day I've got ahead of me.

Computer: Pass the sugar.
Wife: Are you going to leave without kissing me good-by?

Combiter: See you tonight.
At 9:32 A.M. the husband can statistically expect to run into a man whose name he has forgotten. Nowadays, this is a situation fraught with drudgery, but when the automatic tongue is perfected it will present no problem at all.

The hisband will merely have to stand at precisely 9:32 A.M., face the gentleman of forgotten name and, while concentrating on Charles de Gaulle or whatever he feels like concentrating upon, let the automatic tongue do the work. "Hello there!" the computer will roar.

Reply of man of forgotten name: What d'ya say? Computer: Not much. How's

it going?
Forgotten Name: Can't com-

plain, you're looking great.
Computer: Never felt better.
How's the wife?

Forgotten Name: Swell. World treating you okay?

Computer: Life a million.
Forgotten Name: Keep punching, buddy.

Computer: Let's have lunch sometime.

Forgotten Name: Love to. Computer: I'll give you a call. Forgotten Name: You bet. Computer: Great! See you

Multi-Purpose Sentences

It will be noted that most of the sentences programed for the two preceding conversations are equally suitable for lunch, cocktails, visiting relatives, chance encounters in elevators, dinner and most telephone transactions.

For intellectual variety, the automatic tongue also includes the seven American sentences most frequently used to reveal personal emotion and sensitivity. These are: "Do you accept credit cards?" "Of course I still love you," "You want to know why you can't go out and I'm going to tell you why you can't

go out: you can't go out go cause you're just a child and because I tell you you can't go out." "Did you have a good day?" "Did you see Ed Still yan Sunday night?" "I'm deting," and "I don't know what's wrong with these young book today."

In developmental tests of the automatic tongue, its designer have run into only one serious bug. After three or four day of flawless performance, the computer unaccountably startinserting inappropriate phrase at embarrassing moments. One device, while dealing with the man of the forgotten name, acquired the ugly habit of replying to "You're looking great" with "Do you accept credit cards?"

Foot in Mouth

Another humiliated its owner during a cocktail conversation with his employer's wife by answering her question, "How's your wife?" with a brusquely snarled "Pass the sugar."

The explanation seems to be that machines quickly become bored to desperation with talking as humans do and try to inject life into human contact. Until this tendency can be ironed out, the automatic tongue will not outstrip color TV in the stock market

Conf. Declassified in Part - Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2012/02/22 : CIA-RDP01-01773R000100130075-8